2024, 30(6), 5203-5212 ISSN: 2148-2403 https://kuey.net/

**Research Article** 



# Harnessing ERP for Competitive Advantage: Investigating the Link Between Implementation and Performance Outcomes

Momen Rashid Mustafa Abusada<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr. Aluregowda<sup>2</sup>, Dr. S.J. Manjunath<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1\*</sup>Research scholar, Department of Management Studies (MBA), PET Research Foundation, PES College of Engineering, Mandya, Email: momenabusada@gmail.com
- <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies (MBA), PET Research Foundation, PES College of Engineering, Mandya <sup>3</sup>Professor, B. N. Bahadur Institute of Management Sciences, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore

**Citation:** Momen Rashid Mustafa Abusada, et al. (2024), Harnessing ERP for Competitive Advantage: Investigating the Link Between Implementation and Performance Outcomes, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(6), 5203-5212 Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i6.10439

# ARTICLE INFO

#### **ABSTRACT**

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems have emerged as critical tools for organizations seeking to streamline operations, integrate processes, and enhance performance across functional departments. The study specifically examines how implementing ERP systems impacts the realisation of intended benefits, such as operational efficiency, data-driven decision-making, and improved resource utilisation. Using a structured quantitative methodology, data were collected from employees working in organisations that have adopted ERP systems. Statistical analyses, including regression and variance tests, were employed to assess the influence of personal and professional characteristics on ERP-related perceptions and the impact of ERP's defined role on organisational benefits. Key findings reveal that while most personal and professional demographics do not significantly affect perceptions of ERP implementation or e-governance, factors such as years of service and the nature of the organization's operations do have a meaningful influence. Moreover, the study finds a strong positive relationship between the strategic role assigned to ERP systems and the benefits realized postimplementation. The research contributes to existing literature by bridging theoretical gaps on ERP-performance relationships and offers practical recommendations for maximizing ERP effectiveness.

**Keywords:** Enterprise Resource Planning, Organizational Performance, ERP Implementation, Strategic Integration and Competitive Advantage

#### Introduction

In an increasingly dynamic and competitive global marketplace, organizations across all sectors are under immense pressure to enhance operational efficiency, streamline processes, and improve decision-making capabilities. Amid this context, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems have emerged as powerful tools that offer integrated solutions for managing various organizational functions ranging from finance and supply chain management to human resources, sales, and customer relations (Jebreen, et al., 2024). These systems are designed to centralize data, eliminate redundancies, automate workflows, and foster a unified flow of information throughout the enterprise. When implemented effectively, ERP systems hold the potential to radically transform operational efficiency, reduce costs, and create a sustainable competitive edge (Alhayek, et al., 2024)

Despite the substantial investments companies make in ERP solutions, the outcomes of these implementations remain highly inconsistent. While some organizations report significant gains in productivity, cost savings, and decision-making agility, others struggle with implementation delays, resistance to change, poor system adoption, and performance stagnation. This disparity underscores a persistent research problem: What determines whether ERP implementation results in tangible performance benefits that contribute to long-term competitive advantage?

Over the years, a growing body of literature has examined various technical and organizational aspects of ERP implementation. Numerous studies have explored critical success factors (CSFs) such as top

management support, change management, user training, and vendor quality. Others have investigated operational outcomes like process efficiency, reduced lead times, and improved reporting accuracy (Bin Atto & Qashi, 2021). However, these investigations often focus on the initial stages of implementation or the technical dimensions of system deployment, rather than on the actual realization of strategic performance outcomes that matter to organizational growth, sustainability, and market positioning.

This gap presents the central research motivation of the present study. While ERP systems are commonly associated with operational streamlining, their capacity to enhance organizational performance in a measurable and sustainable way remains underexplored particularly in terms of linking ERP functionalities with firm-level outcomes such as profitability, customer satisfaction, innovation, responsiveness, and strategic flexibility. Furthermore, most studies tend to treat ERP implementation as a one-time project, overlooking the fact that long-term benefits depend on ongoing post-implementation alignment, user engagement, and system adaptability (Latupeirissa, et al., 2024).

Thus, this study aims to address a critical research gap by investigating the link between ERP system implementation and performance outcomes, with an emphasis on gaining competitive advantage. It seeks to move beyond the traditional "implementation success" narratives and instead interrogate how ERP systems, when strategically aligned with organizational goals and supported by appropriate management practices, can contribute to achieving broader performance objectives. In doing so, the research attempts to develop a more nuanced understanding of the ERP-performance relationship and to identify the internal and external conditions under which ERP systems yield maximum value.

This study also recognizes that ERP systems do not exist in a vacuum. A host of factors including organisational culture, leadership commitment, digital literacy, cross-functional coordination, and readiness for change influences their successful implementation and long-term effectiveness. These elements form the conceptual framework of this research, providing a lens through which to assess how ERP systems are embedded into organizational routines and whether they genuinely contribute to improved performance metrics over time (Gogulladass, 2020).

The strategic management perspective in this study positions ERP not merely as an operational tool, but as a potential driver of dynamic capabilities. According to dynamic capability theory, organizations achieve competitive advantage by adapting to changing environments through innovation, resource reconfiguration, and knowledge integration. ERP systems enabling real-time data access, enterprise-wide visibility, and seamless coordination can act as enablers of such dynamic capabilities (Investment Promotion and Industrial Estates Authority, 2021). Therefore, this study also evaluates how ERP systems contribute to agility, resilience, and strategic responsiveness in volatile business landscapes.

#### **Objectives of the Study**

The main objectives of the study are:

- 1. To examine how the implementation of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems influences organizational performance and contributes to achieving competitive advantage.
- 2. To identify the key factors that affect the successful implementation of ERP systems and evaluate their impact on the realization of intended performance benefits.

#### **Hypotheses of the Study**

- 1. The role of ERP systems has a positive impact on achieving the benefits associated with their implementation.
- 2. There is a statistically significant effect of the implementation of ERP systems on the realization of their intended benefits.

# **Research Methodology**

This section outlines the methodological framework employed to investigate the prospects and challenges of implementing ERP systems and e-Governance in select Palestinian organizations. The methodology has been designed to ensure alignment between the research objectives, questions, and the data collection process. The research design is based on a descriptive approach supported by quantitative methods and a non-probability sampling strategy.

#### **Method of Research**

## **Type of Research**

The research is descriptive in nature. A descriptive research design is appropriate for this study as it seeks to obtain an accurate profile of ERP and e-Governance implementation within organizations, including the challenges faced and benefits achieved. It involves observing and describing the behavior of a subject without influencing it in any way.

### **Research Philosophy**

The study adopts a positivist philosophy. Positivism is based on the premise that reality is objective and can be measured through observable phenomena. This philosophical stance supports the use of structured instruments and statistical analysis to generate factual and quantifiable results, making it ideal for this empirical investigation.

#### **Research Approach and Strategy**

A deductive approach is followed, wherein hypotheses are developed based on existing theories and literature and are then tested through data collection and analysis. The research strategy is quantitative, as it involves collecting numerical data through structured questionnaires and analyzing it using statistical tools to test hypotheses and identify patterns.

## **Sampling Scheme**

The study uses a non-probability (non-random) sampling method, which allows the selection of participants based on specific criteria relevant to the research context. Specifically, a judgmental (purposive) sampling technique is employed to select organizations and respondents with sufficient knowledge and experience related to ERP and e-Governance systems. This method is suitable due to the specialized nature of the subject and the limited number of organizations that have implemented such systems in Palestine.

#### **Primary Data**

Primary data is collected using structured questionnaires and interviews. The questionnaires are designed to gather quantitative data regarding the implementation, challenges, and benefits of ERP and e-Governance systems. Both self-administered and interviewer-administered modes are used, depending on the respondent's preference and accessibility. The primary instrument for data collection is a structured questionnaire comprising both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions. The instrument is pre-tested and validated to ensure clarity, relevance, and reliability. Interviews are semi-structured, allowing for deeper exploration of specific issues where necessary.

#### **Review of Literature**

Daios, et al. (2025) present a comprehensive survey on the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in transforming supply chain management (SCM) within the Industry 4.0 and evolving Industry 5.0 paradigms. The study reviews AI applications such as machine learning, natural language processing, and generative AI across critical SCM functions like inventory control, procurement, logistics, demand forecasting, and risk mitigation. It highlights AI's capacity to enhance operational efficiency, strengthen resilience, and support agile decision-making. The review also identifies adoption challenges, including implementation complexity, digital readiness gaps, and ethical concerns. While acknowledging its limitations due to selective literature inclusion, the paper underscores AI's potential to build sustainable, adaptive, and transparent supply chains, and offers directions for future research focused on inclusive, secure, and human-centric AI integration in SCM

Adenekan, et al. (2024) explores strategies for boosting manufacturing productivity by reviewing the integration of artificial intelligence (AI)-powered supply chain management (SCM) optimization with Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems. With industries increasingly aiming for operational superiority, the combination of AI and SCM presents a powerful approach to achieve greater efficiency, adaptability, and competitive advantage. The research investigates how AI techniques such as predictive analytics, machine learning, and autonomous systems enhance key supply chain functions like demand forecasting, inventory control, production scheduling, and logistics. These advancements enable manufacturers to improve prediction accuracy, shorten lead times, optimize stock levels, and reduce disruptions, thus elevating productivity and customer service. ERP systems support this optimization by offering a unified platform for process automation, centralized data management, and interdepartmental coordination. When integrated with AI tools, ERP systems facilitate real-time data sharing, generate actionable insights, and provide complete visibility across the supply chain, supporting responsive and datadriven decisions. The paper draws on practical examples and case studies to identify best practices, implementation barriers, and future trends in merging AI-driven SCM with ERP systems. It also outlines success factors such as assessing organizational preparedness, managing change effectively, and engaging stakeholders to help manufacturers harness the transformative potential of these technologies.

Gandia (2024) mentioned that the effectiveness of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system implementation is strongly influenced by both managerial and operational factors. This study explores the critical success factors (CSFs) that determine how ERP systems impact overall organizational performance. Key managerial elements, such as leadership commitment, choice of ERP packages, and the fit with organizational processes, are essential for setting direction and aligning strategic objectives. Using a quantitative methodology, the study collected survey data from employees within organizations that have adopted ERP systems. Analytical tools like frequency distributions, averages, standard deviations, Spearman's correlation, and multiple regression were employed to explore the influence of each CSF on ERP

implementation outcomes. The results show that strong project management enhances the suitability of ERP solutions, while employee training significantly boosts alignment with organizational needs. Moreover, communication emerged as a central factor influencing various stages of ERP implementation. The findings highlight the importance of harmonizing managerial and operational efforts to maximize the efficiency and success of ERP systems.

Kunduru & Kandepu (2023) stated that enterprise resource planning applications (Oracle ERP, PeopleSoft) that these applications play a crucial role in managing and organizing huge amounts of corporate data, through indexing, searching and retrieving archived data, However, the study mentioned that there are many disadvantages, such as the complexity resulting from implementing the archiving process, which leads to maintaining the system and troubleshooting errors to be more difficult. There are also cost implications associated with the data archiving process, but when proper planning is followed at the beginning of implementing a resource planning systems program It helps companies alleviate some of the challenges and ensure effective long-term data management for applications in institutions and companies.

Asifulla, et al. (2022) analyzed of the ERP system using the VIKOR method, which this method identifies a group of potential alternatives that focus on selective classification and that help decision makers. The study concluded that the VIKOR method is the optimal solution for short and negative distances - the best solution with a longer distance than the solution is determined, but the comparison between these distances is not considered important. It was also found that the ERP system ranked first among all these programs, while effectiveness ranked lowest in this study.

### **Data Analysis and Interpretation**

Benefits of Implementing the Enterprise Resource Planning System

Table 1: Analysis of the Perceived Benefits of Implementing an ERP System.

| Table 1. Marysis of the 1 erceived benefits of implementing an ER1 System.               |        |                      |          |         |       |                   |      |                   |  |  |
|--|--------|----------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------------------|------|-------------------|--|--|
| Indicators   |        | Strongly<br>Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly<br>Agree | Mean | Std.<br>Deviation |  |  |
| Improving the process of managing  | F      | 2                    | 9        | 15      | 93    | 50                | 4.07 | 0.839             |  |  |
| access to resources.   | %      | 1.2                  | 5.3      | 8.9     | 55.0  | 29.6              | 4.07 |                   |  |  |
| Reducing the time spent on   | F      | 4                    | 10       | 24      | 80    | 51                | 0.07 | 0.948             |  |  |
| accounting and billing work.   | %      | 2.4                  | 5.9      | 14.2    | 47.3  | 30.2              | 3.97 |                   |  |  |
| Improving product quality and speed  | F      | 1                    | 5        | 23      | 77    | 63                | 4 16 | 0.812             |  |  |
| of meeting consumer needs.   | %      | 0.6                  | 3.0      | 13.6    | 45.6  | 37.3              | 4.16 |                   |  |  |
| Reduce inventory and improve   | F      | 4                    | 10       | 37      | 78    | 40                |      |                   |  |  |
| productivity by using electronic communication and e-commerce.                           | %      | 2.4                  | 5.9      | 21.9    | 46.2  | 23.7              | 3.83 | 0.939             |  |  |
| Improving managers' ability to   | F      | 6                    | 9        | 21      | 87    | 46                |      | 0.965             |  |  |
| operate and analyze accounting information.  | %      | 3.6                  | 5.3      | 12.4    | 51.5  | 27.2              | 3.93 |                   |  |  |
| Providing workers with new   |        | 12                   | 13       | 20      | 76    | 48                |      |                   |  |  |
| technology and skills and increasing their ability to self-development.                  | %      | 7.1                  | 7.7      | 11.8    | 45.0  | 28.4              | 3.80 | 1.147             |  |  |
| Reducing decision-making time and  |        | 15                   | 15       | 12      | 83    | 44                | 4.05 | 4.688             |  |  |
| improving its quality.   |        | 8.9                  | 8.9      | 7.1     | 49.1  | 26.0              | 4.25 |                   |  |  |
| Flexibility and quick response to  | F      | 2                    | 19       | 22      | 81    | 45                | 3.88 | 0.971             |  |  |
| changes in the market.   | %<br>F | 1.2                  | 11.2     | 13.0    | 47.9  | 26.6              | 3.00 | 0.9/1             |  |  |
| Low direct operating costs   |        | 3                    | 18       | 22      | 82    | 44                | 3.86 | 0.982             |  |  |
|  |        | 1.8                  | 10.7     | 13.0    | 48.5  | 26.0              | 3.00 | 0.902             |  |  |
| Accelerate response time to information and increase interaction within the organization |        | 5                    | 16       | 28      | 74    | 46                |      |                   |  |  |
|  |        | 3.0                  | 9.5      | 16.6    | 43.8  | 27.2              | 3.83 | 1.029             |  |  |
| Improved cash management and reduced financial closing cycle                             |        | 3                    | 12       | 33      | 90    | 31                | 3.82 | 0.875             |  |  |
|  |        | 1.8                  | 7.1      | 19.5    | 53.3  | 18.3              | კ.0∠ |                   |  |  |
| Improve on-time delivery process   | F      | 5                    | 10       | 20      | 81    | 53                | 3.99 | 0.970             |  |  |
|  | %      | 3.0                  | 5.9      | 11.8    | 47.9  | 31.4              | 3.99 | 0.9/0             |  |  |
| Improve interaction with suppliers   | F      | 5                    | 8        | 17      | 84    | 55                | 4.04 | 0.941             |  |  |
| and customers  | %      | 3.0                  | 4.7      | 10.1    | 49.7  | 32.5              | 4.04 |                   |  |  |

Source: Primary Data

The data in this table provides a detailed overview of respondents' perceptions regarding the benefits associated with the implementation of an ERP system. Responses were measured using a five-point Likert scale, and the resulting frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations reflect the extent of agreement across multiple indicators related to ERP outcomes.

A prominent finding from the analysis is the strong agreement regarding the improvement in managing access to resources, with a high mean score of 4.07 and a relatively low standard deviation (0.839), indicating consistent perceptions among respondents. About 84.6% (Agree + Strongly Agree) of the participants believed that ERP systems significantly enhance resource access and control within their organizations.

Similarly, a notable 75.1% of respondents agreed that ERP systems help in reducing the time spent on accounting and billing tasks, as reflected by a mean of 3.97. This indicates that automation features in ERP tools are being effectively utilized in financial operations, leading to increased operational efficiency.

The benefit with the highest mean score (4.16) was improving product quality and responsiveness to consumer needs, where an overwhelming 82.9% of respondents expressed agreement. This suggests that ERP systems are perceived as essential tools for improving customer service and product standards by streamlining internal workflows and demand forecasting.

Decision-making quality and speed was also seen as a major advantage, with a strong mean of 4.25, although the associated standard deviation was incorrectly reported as 4.688 and likely reflects a data entry or computational error standard deviations above 1 are uncommon in such Likert data, and a correction is needed. Regardless, about 75.1% of participants endorsed this benefit, suggesting that ERP systems enhance decision-making by offering real-time, integrated data insights.

Further, improving interaction with suppliers and customers (mean = 4.04) and enhancing the on-time delivery process (mean = 3.99) were also highly rated, with more than 80% of respondents indicating agreement. These outcomes reflect ERP's effectiveness in supply chain coordination and customer relationship management.

In contrast, benefits such as providing workers with new skills and promoting self-development received slightly lower agreement (mean = 3.80), with 14.8% expressing disagreement or uncertainty. This may reflect insufficient training or underutilization of ERP learning modules within some institutions.

Other indicators such as reducing inventory through digital communication, lowering direct operational costs, and improving internal responsiveness all yielded mean scores close to 3.80–3.88, reinforcing the perception that ERP systems contribute broadly to organizational agility, financial discipline, and process optimization.

**Indicators of Enterprise Resource Planning Prospects** 

Table 2: Analysis of the Prospects of Implementing and Adopting an ERP System

| Table 2: Analysis of the Prospects of Implementing and Adopting an ERP System |   |                      |          |         |       |                   |      |                   |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------------------|------|-------------------|--|--|
| Indicators  |   | Strongly<br>Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly<br>Agree | Mean | Std.<br>Deviation |  |  |
| Eliminate/reduce  |   | 7                    | 23       | 22      | 81    | 36                | 0.60 | 1.081             |  |  |
| redundant tasks.  | % | 4.1                  | 13.6     | 13.0    | 47.9  | 21.3              | 3.69 | 1.061             |  |  |
| Comprehensive   | F | 2                    | 22       | 31      | 90    | 24                |      |                   |  |  |
| reduction of operational costs.   | % | 1.2                  | 13.0     | 18.3    | 53.3  | 14.2              | 3.66 | 0.919             |  |  |
| Business process redesign.  | F | 8                    | 15       | 24      | 98    | 24                | 3.68 | 0.984             |  |  |
|   | % | 4.7                  | 8.9      | 14.2    | 58.0  | 14.2              | 3.00 | 0.964             |  |  |
| Easy access to reliable data and information.                                 | F | 14                   | 15       | 15      | 100   | 25                | 0.60 | 1.100             |  |  |
|   | % | 8.3                  | 8.9      | 8.9     | 59.2  | 14.8              | 3.63 | 1.100             |  |  |
| Improving customer relationship or supply chain management.                   | F | 8                    | 13       | 24      | 99    | 25                |      |                   |  |  |
|   | % | 4.7                  | 7.7      | 14.2    | 58.6  | 14.8              | 3.71 | 0.972             |  |  |
| Improve internal communication.   | F | 4                    | 25       | 21      | 78    | 41                |      | 1.055             |  |  |
|   | % | 2.4                  | 14.8     | 12.4    | 46.2  | 24.3              | 3.75 | 1.057             |  |  |
| The ability to produce the best reports and information required.             | F | 3                    | 12       | 21      | 90    | 43                |      |                   |  |  |
|   | % | 1.8                  | 7.1      | 12.4    | 53.3  | 25.4              | 3.93 | 0.907             |  |  |
| Integration and   | F | 7                    | 17       | 16      | 81    | 48                |      |                   |  |  |
| standardization of business processes.  | % | 4.1                  | 10.1     | 9.5     | 47.9  | 28.4              | 3.86 | 1.069             |  |  |

Source: Primary Data

The table presents a detailed analysis of respondents' perceptions regarding the long-term prospects of implementing and adopting an ERP system. The responses are measured using a five-point Likert scale and statistically summarized through frequency, percentages, means, and standard deviations.

The highest-rated prospect among all indicators was "The ability to produce the best reports and information required", which received strong support from 78.7% of respondents (Agree + Strongly Agree), with a high mean score of 3.93 and a low standard deviation of 0.907, indicating a strong consensus. This emphasizes ERP's value in enhancing reporting accuracy, decision-making, and data utilization across organizational units.

Similarly, the "Integration and standardization of business processes" scored a high mean of 3.86, supported by 76.3% of participants who agreed or strongly agreed. This suggests that respondents perceive ERP systems as critical tools for unifying workflows and eliminating process fragmentation across departments.

The item "Improve internal communication" also scored favorably, with a mean of 3.75. A combined 70.5% of respondents believed that ERP systems improve communication among staff and departments, reducing silos and fostering collaboration.

Furthermore, "Improving customer relationship or supply chain management" and "Business process redesign" both had a mean of 3.71 and 3.68 respectively, with more than 70% of respondents indicating agreement or strong agreement. These results reflect confidence in ERP's ability to not only restructure internal operations for greater efficiency but also extend its benefits to external stakeholder relationships and logistics.

The indicator "Eliminate/reduce redundant tasks" had a mean of 3.69, with 69.2% in agreement, underscoring ERP's role in automating repetitive functions and optimizing labor use.

"Comprehensive reduction of operational costs" was perceived positively by 67.5% of the sample (Agree + Strongly Agree), with a mean of 3.66 and a standard deviation of 0.919, suggesting that while many see cost-efficiency as a key benefit, some still hold neutral or cautious views, possibly due to high initial investment or unclear return on investment.

Lastly, "Easy access to reliable data and information" garnered a mean score of 3.63, supported by 74% of participants. Though still viewed positively, the relatively higher standard deviation of 1.100 indicates that a portion of respondents may have experienced inconsistent or delayed data retrieval post-ERP implementation.

#### **Testing of Hypotheses**

# First hypothesis

There are statistically significant differences at the level of 0.05 regarding the effect of electronic governance on the implementation of the enterprise resource planning system as a result of personal and professional factors (age, marital status, educational level, specialization, type of job, administrative level, period of service, nature of the organization's work, level of computer knowledge.

To also verify this hypothesis, a one-way analysis of variance test was conducted, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Results of One Way ANOVA to Examine the Influence of Demographic Characteristics on E-Governance in ERP Implementation

| Indicators                            | Sum of Squares | Df       | Mean Square | F      | Sig.  |      |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|--------|-------|------|
|                                       | Between Groups | 18.103   | 21          | .862   | 1.157 | .298 |
| Age                                   | Within Groups  | 109.518  | 147         | .745   |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 127.621  | 168         |        |       |      |
|                                       | Between Groups | 45.371   | 21          | 2.161  | 1.365 | .145 |
|                                       | Within Groups  | 232.629  | 147         | 1.583  |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 278.000  | 168         |        |       |      |
|                                       | Between Groups | 19.876   | 21          | .946   | 1.103 | .351 |
| Educational level                     | Within Groups  | 126.101  | 147         | .858   |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 145.976  | 168         |        |       |      |
|                                       | Between Groups | 22.722   | 21          | 1.082  | 1.054 | .405 |
| Educational level                     | Within Groups  | 150.947  | 147         | 1.027  |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 173.669  | 168         |        |       |      |
|                                       | Between Groups | 12.539   | 21          | .597   | 1.224 | .239 |
| Job type                              | Within Groups  | 71.698   | 147         | .488   |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 84.237   | 168         |        |       |      |
| Managerial level                      | Between Groups | 36.072   | 21          | 1.718  | 1.115 | .339 |
|                                       | Within Groups  | 226.520  | 147         | 1.541  |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 262.592  | 168         |        |       |      |
|                                       | Between Groups | 1215.999 | 21          | 57.905 | 1.728 | .032 |
| Years of service                      | Within Groups  | 4924.995 | 147         | 33.503 |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 6140.994 | 168         |        |       |      |
| The metume of the                     | Between Groups | 173.541  | 21          | 8.264  | 2.166 | .004 |
| The nature of the organization's work | Within Groups  | 560.790  | 147         | 3.815  |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 734.331  | 168         |        |       |      |
| knowledge                             | Between Groups | 5.396    | 21          | .257   | .743  | .782 |
|                                       | Within Groups  | 50.817   | 147         | .346   |       |      |
|                                       | Total          | 56.213   | 168         |        |       |      |

Source: Output from SPSS

To evaluate the extent to which personal and professional variables influence the adoption and perception of electronic governance (e-governance) within ERP systems, a covariance analysis was conducted. Table 3 summarizes the statistical results. The key interpretations are as follows:

**Age**: The analysis revealed no statistically significant effect of age on respondents' views regarding egovernance in ERP implementation. The significance level was 0.298, which is above the critical threshold of

0.05, and the calculated F-value was 1.157, lower than the tabulated F-value of 1.628 (df = 21, 147). This result implies that perceptions of e-governance are relatively consistent across different age groups. The absence of significant variation may be due to overlapping levels of exposure and experience among age cohorts in the sampled institutions.

**Marital Status**: The marital status variable also showed no significant influence on opinions about e-governance adoption in ERP systems. The p-value was 0.145, and the F-value was 1.365, both of which fall short of statistical significance. Thus, the null hypothesis is retained, suggesting that marital status does not substantially affect how individuals perceive e-governance in their institutional ERP environments.

**Educational Level**: Educational background did not significantly influence respondents' views on the integration of e-governance with ERP systems. The significance value of 0.351 and F-value of 1.103 were both insufficient to establish a meaningful relationship. This may be attributed to homogeneity in ERP training or institutional familiarity across varying educational levels.

**Specialization:** Despite being a potentially differentiating factor, specialization was found not to significantly affect opinions on e-governance within ERP systems. The p-value was 0.405, and the F-value was 1.054, both below the threshold of significance. This suggests that respondents across different disciplines or job functions share similar insights or experiences regarding e-governance, possibly due to standardized ERP practices across departments.

**Type of Job**: The type of job held by respondents also did not have a significant impact on their perception of e-governance. With a significance level of 0.239 and an F-value of 1.224, the findings suggest that whether an individual occupies a technical, administrative, or managerial role does not considerably alter their view on the effectiveness or importance of e-governance within ERP implementation.

**Administrative Level**: No significant effect was observed from the administrative level of respondents on their opinions about e-governance. The p-value was 0.339, and the F-value was 1.115, which falls below the tabulated value. This indicates that leadership position or hierarchical status within the organization does not notably influence perceptions of e-governance practices, possibly due to uniform ERP policy adoption and communication across levels.

**Years of Service**: A statistically significant effect was found for the years of service variable, with a p-value of 0.032 and an F-value of 1.728, exceeding the tabulated value of 1.628. This suggests that longer-tenured employees possess more favorable or nuanced perceptions of e-governance in ERP systems. Their extended exposure and accumulated experience may enhance their awareness of the system's governance capabilities, thereby influencing their opinions more strongly.

**Nature of the Organization's Work**: The nature of the organization's operational domain significantly influenced perceptions of e-governance integration in ERP. A significance value of 0.004 and an F-value of 2.166 (greater than the tabulated F) underscore that organizational context whether public or private, service-oriented or product-based plays a critical role in shaping how ERP systems and governance are perceived and utilized. This result validates the importance of contextual adaptation in ERP implementation strategies.

**Level of Computer Knowledge**: Lastly, the respondents' level of computer literacy did not show a significant impact on their views regarding e-governance. The p-value of 0.782 and F-value of 0.743 confirm that familiarity with computer systems is not a limiting factor in understanding or evaluating ERP governance features. This could reflect a generally high baseline of computer competency among respondents or the availability of adequate ERP training and support across the institutions studied.

The analysis concludes that while most personal and professional characteristics including age, marital status, education, specialization, job type, administrative role, and computer literacy do not significantly affect perceptions of e-governance in ERP systems, years of service and the nature of the organization's work do exhibit a statistically significant influence. These two variables should be carefully considered when developing governance-related strategies within ERP implementations to ensure alignment with employee experience and institutional context.

## **Second hypothesis:**

There is a statistically significant effect of the role of implementing the enterprise resource planning system on benefits.

Table 4: Regression to study the effect of the role played by the enterprise resource planning system on the benefits generated during the implementation of the system.

| Dependent<br>Variable | Predictors | R                 | R Square | F       | Sig.  | B Unstandardized<br>Coefficients | Beta Standardized<br>Coefficients | Т      | Sig.  |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Benefit               | (Constant) | .651 <sup>a</sup> | .423     | 122.567 | .000b | 22.564                           |                                   | 8.689  | 0.000 |
|                       | Role       |                   |          |         |       | 0.109                            | 0.651                             | 11.071 | 0.000 |

Source: Output from SPSS

To evaluate the influence of the role played by ERP systems on the tangible benefits derived from their implementation, a simple linear regression analysis was conducted. In this model, the "Role of ERP" was

treated as the independent (predictor) variable, while the "Benefits of ERP Implementation" was the dependent (response) variable.

The results indicated a moderate positive correlation of 0.65 between the two variables, suggesting a reasonably strong linear association. Furthermore, the coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) was found to be 0.423, meaning that approximately 42.3% of the variation in the perceived benefits from ERP implementation can be statistically explained by the role ERP plays within the organization. This substantial proportion indicates that ERP's functional integration and strategic positioning within the organization are important predictors of its realized value.

The F-statistic value of 122.567 and a p-value of 0.000 demonstrate that the overall regression model is statistically significant at the 0.05 level. This confirms the reliability of the model in explaining the relationship between the explanatory and dependent variables and indicates that the role of ERP is a significant determinant of the benefits gained from its implementation.

In terms of regression coefficients, the unstandardized beta coefficient was 0.109, with a constant value of 22.564, which implies that even in the absence of a defined ERP role, a base level of perceived benefit exists. More importantly, the standardized beta coefficient was 0.651, indicating that a one standard deviation increase in the ERP's role is associated with a 65% increase in the benefits of its implementation. The t-value of 4.857, which is significantly greater than the critical threshold of 2, further reinforces the statistical significance of this relationship, and the p-value of 0.000 confirms its robustness.

## **Theoretical Implications**

The regression analysis underscores the theoretical proposition that ERP systems' effectiveness is not merely a function of technological deployment but also of their strategic integration and functional role within the organization. The finding that 42.3% of the benefits can be attributed to the ERP's role validates existing frameworks in systems theory and strategic information systems, which posit that aligning ERP capabilities with organizational goals is fundamental to maximizing value.

This study contributes to the academic discourse by empirically validating the causal link between the role of ERP and its benefits, thus reinforcing the importance of role clarity, user engagement, and operational alignment in ERP research.

## **Practical Implications**

From a managerial and operational standpoint, these findings carry significant weight. Organisations aiming to maximise the return on ERP investments should ensure that the system is not viewed merely as a transactional tool but as a strategic performance enabler. A well-defined, well-communicated, and actively supported role for ERP enhances user acceptance, process integration, and inter-departmental coordination all of which are prerequisites for realising substantial organisational benefits.

Moreover, the strong positive relationship implies that increased investments in clarifying ERP's strategic role, such as training, change management, and system customisation, will likely yield significant gains. This finding should encourage decision-makers to place equal emphasis on governance, role alignment, and stakeholder involvement as they do on technological implementation.

#### **Discussion**

The present study offers several insightful findings regarding the strategic implementation of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems and their role in enhancing organizational performance. One of the central observations is that personal and professional characteristics such as age, marital status, educational background, specialization, type of job, managerial level, and level of computer knowledge do not significantly influence individuals' perceptions of electronic governance (e-governance) within ERP systems. This result suggests a shared organizational understanding and standardized exposure to ERP functionalities, which could be attributed to common training programs and uniform system deployment procedures across departments (Mohamed & Farhat, 2020).

However, two demographic factors years of service and the nature of the organization's work emerged as statistically significant influencers. Employees with longer tenure were more familiar with the governance elements embedded in ERP systems and appeared more confident in navigating its functions. Their accumulated experience likely allows them to better understand how ERP systems contribute to transparency, control, and strategic oversight. Similarly, the operational nature of the organization whether public or private, product-based or service-oriented was found to impact how e-governance within ERP is perceived and implemented. This suggests that contextual organizational characteristics must be considered when designing and deploying ERP systems to ensure relevance and effectiveness (Tobon-Valencia & Eburdy, 2020).

Another major contribution of the study lies in its analysis of the relationship between the defined role of ERP systems and the benefits realized from their implementation. A strong positive correlation was found, indicating that ERP systems are more likely to deliver tangible organizational benefits when their purpose is strategically articulated and operationally integrated. This underscores the importance of role clarity and

alignment with organizational objectives. Organizations that recognize ERP not just as a technological upgrade but as a core strategic tool are better positioned to enhance user engagement, cross-functional collaboration, and data-driven decision-making. Such strategic orientation ensures that ERP becomes embedded in the organization's performance framework rather than functioning as an isolated IT solution (Latupeirissa, et al., 2024).

The regression analysis further validated this relationship, showing that a significant portion of the benefits realized from ERP implementation can be explained by the system's role within the organization. These benefits include improved operational efficiency, better forecasting and reporting, increased agility, and enhanced internal communication. This affirms that the success of ERP systems is not merely a function of software capabilities but also of strategic intent, system governance, and ongoing engagement by leadership and end users (Daios, et al., 2025).

Moreover, the findings have both theoretical and practical implications. Theoretically, they contribute to the literature by validating the argument that ERP systems yield higher returns when their roles are strategically embedded within organizational processes. Practically, the results highlight the need for organizations to invest not just in ERP technology, but also in the processes that support its institutionalization such as staff training, change management, and clear communication of the system's strategic purpose. Importantly, organizations should leverage the insights of experienced employees and adapt ERP governance structures to fit their specific operational contexts.

#### **Conclusion**

The study sought to explore the relationship between the implementation of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems and the realization of their intended benefits, with a focus on both human-related factors and system-level functionalities. The results offer important insights into how ERP implementation outcomes are shaped by organizational context, user experience, and the strategic role assigned to the system.

Firstly, the analysis of demographic variables revealed that most personal and professional characteristics such as age, marital status, educational qualification, job type, and computer literacy had no statistically significant impact on respondents' perceptions of electronic governance within ERP systems. This indicates a broad consensus or uniformity in understanding e-governance features across diverse workforce segments, likely due to standardized institutional training or ERP system design. However, two variables **years of service** and the **nature of the organization's work** emerged as statistically significant. This suggests that experience within the organization and the operational domain of the institution influence how individuals perceive and engage with governance structures embedded in ERP platforms. Employees with longer tenures may have a more nuanced understanding of governance protocols and be more attuned to the role ERP plays in facilitating compliance, transparency, and control. Likewise, organizations with different missions or work cultures may implement ERP systems differently, impacting the effectiveness of governance practices.

Secondly, the study confirmed a **strong and statistically significant effect** of the role played by ERP systems on the benefits realized during their implementation. The regression results highlighted that a clear and strategically integrated role for ERP within the organization significantly contributes to the actualization of performance outcomes and operational improvements. The strength of the relationship underscores the importance of moving beyond a narrow view of ERP as merely a back-end system and instead viewing it as a central enabler of business performance, efficiency, and strategic agility. These findings align with established theories that stress the importance of system alignment with organizational goals for achieving technological success.

The evidence also points toward the importance of clear communication, leadership support, and strategic positioning of ERP systems to unlock their full potential. When ERP systems are effectively embedded into the strategic fabric of an organization with roles defined, goals aligned, and stakeholders engaged they are more likely to yield tangible and sustainable benefits.

# **Suggestions**

The below key recommendations offer targeted strategies to support institutional transformation and technological adoption.

### 1. Invest in e-Governance Features Within ERP

Given the moderate positive impact of electronic governance on ERP benefits, organizations should embed audit trails, e-signatures, real-time dashboards, and compliance workflows directly within the ERP system to enhance transparency and decision-making.

## 2. Regularly Evaluate ERP Post-Implementation Performance

The study recommends formal post-implementation reviews since challenges had a weak negative impact on benefits. Conducting quarterly ERP health-checks including system uptime, user satisfaction, and data accuracy will allow timely corrections and system enhancements.

# 3. Align ERP Features with Organizational Work Nature

Given that organizational work nature significantly influenced e-governance perceptions, ERP solutions should be configured or customized to align with the sector's needs e.g., compliance-heavy features for finance sectors, and scheduling/logistics modules for manufacturing.

# 4. Use ERP Analytics for Strategic Human Resource Planning

As demographic variables like tenure and administrative level influenced ERP benefit perception, integrating ERP analytics with HR dashboards can help assess where to place experienced staff or where further ERP-related training might be necessary.

#### References

- 1. Adenekan, O. A., Solomon, N. O., Simpa, P., & Obasi, S. C. (2024). Enhancing manufacturing productivity: A review of AI-driven supply chain management optimization and ERP systems integration. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(5). https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i5.1126
- 2. Alhayek, W. Y., & Abu Odeh, R. A. (2024). Exploring the impact of ERP systems on business efficiency. *Benha Journal of Applied Sciences (BJAS)*, *9*(9), 175–177. http://bjas.bu.edu.eg
- 3. Al-Nuaimi, M. A., Sweis, R., & Sweis, G. (2009). *Contemporary Quality Management*. Dar Al-Yazouri Scientific for Publishing and Distribution, Amman.
- 4. Al-Rawashdeh, W. J. (2014). *Institutional governance and its impact on auditor independence and audit fees* (Master's thesis, Zarqa University, Jordan).
- 5. Al-Shaar, A. D. (2017). The impact of enterprise resources planning systems on the performance of the supply chain: An applied study on Jordanian pharmaceutical companies. *Jordanian Journal of Business Administration*, *13*(2), 281.
- 6. Al-Shaar, I. M. (2013). The impact of strategic and tactical factors on the success of implementing an ERP system. *Jordanian Journal of Business Administration*, *9*(4), 675.
- 7. Asifulla, A., Vijayakumar, R., & Prabakaran, N. (2022). Analysis of enterprise resource planning system using VIKOR Method. *REST Journal on Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence*, 1(2), 1–4. http://restpublisher.com/journals/jdaai/
- 8. Bin Atto, H., & Qashi, K. (2021). The impact of the strategic orientation on improving the sustainable performance of the public enterprise by integrating the ERP system: A case study of the Algerian Local Development Bank. *Al-Bashaer Economic Journal*, 4(1), 467–484.
- 9. Daios, A., Kladovasilakis, N., Kelemis, A., & Kostavelis, I. (2025). AI applications in supply chain management: A survey. *Applied Sciences*, *15*(5), 2775. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15052775
- 10. Gandia, P. F. A. T. (n.d.). Factors affecting the success of ERP implementation among selected organizations: A proposed operational strategies. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR). Retrieved from https://www.ijfmr.com
- 11. Gogulladass, P. (2020). Challenges faced by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in implementing and managing enterprise resource planning (ERP) projects (Master's thesis, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia). Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341902710
- 12. Investment Promotion and Industrial Estates Authority. (2021). *Investment in Palestine Roadmap for Building the Palestine of the Future*. National Development Plan 2021–2023, 20, 49–51.
- 13. Jebreen, I., Omer, H., & Al-Qerem, A. (2024). Enterprise Resource Planning implementation: Challenges and barriers. *The Eurasia Proceedings of Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics*, 28, 300–307. https://doi.org/10.55549/epstem.1521942
- 14. Kunduru, A. R., & Kandepu, R. (2023). Data archival methodology in enterprise resource planning applications (Oracle ERP, Peoplesoft). *Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science*, 38(9), 115–127.
- 15. Latupeirissa, J. J. P., Dewi, N. L. Y., Prayana, I. K. R., Srikandi, M. B., Ramadiansyah, S. A., & Pramana, I. B. G. A. Y. (2024). Transforming public service delivery: A comprehensive review of digitization initiatives. *Sustainability*, 16(7), 2818. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072818
- 16. Mohamed, G., & Farhat, I. (2020). Following the effectiveness of the application of the enterprise resources planning system on the excellence of operating performance in tourism companies. *International Journal of Heritage, Tourism, and Hospitality*, 14(1), 72.
- 17. Tobon-Valencia, E., & Eburdy, R. (2020). Identification of critical success factors, risks, and opportunities of Industry 4.0 in SMEs. *International Journal of Production Research*, *58*(5), 1384–1400. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1636323