

A Study On Performance Management Among Employees Of Financial Institutions In Chennai

Dr. Arulmani Josephraj*

*Assistant Professor, Department of Social Work, Christ Arts and Science College, Kilachery

Citation: Dr. Arulmani Josephraj, (2024). A Study On Performance Management Among Employees Of Financial Institutions In Chennai, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(1) 7279-7286

Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i1.10461

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Performance management is a strategic and comprehensive approach to long-term organisational success, by boosting employee performance, developing their skills, and encouraging their contributions. Different people and organizations have different opinions about how employees feel about the performance management systems used in financial institutions. Employee loyalty, perceived performance management methods, assigned responsibilities, and personal profiles are all examined in this study. A structured questionnaire was used to gather primary data from a sample of 400 employees in Chennai. Multiple linear regression, the Kruskal-Wallis test, percentage analysis, and ranking analysis were used to examine the gathered data. The study concluded that job responsibilities, performance management systems, and loyalty of employees on workplace have higher impact on its performance.

Keywords: Employees, Financial Institutions, Performance Management, Responsibilities, Loyalty.

1. Introduction

Employees are vital to the operation of financial institutions since their performance directly affects the quality of services provided. The customers depend on the knowledge and effectiveness of employees; effective employee performance frequently results in improved organisational outcomes. Financial institutions can now evaluate employees' professional experience, service capabilities, and communication skills thanks to the integration of digital technology and new information, which has focused attention on performance management systems. Performance management systems guarantee continuous improvement, by connecting individual employee performance with organisational goals. A systematic approach to professional competency development is established by performance management systems through tools such as goal-setting, evaluation reports, and performance measurement. Better performance and resource prioritization are influenced by elements including individual performance goals, feedback systems, and alignment with institutional goals. In order to assess the efficacy of performance management systems in financial institutions, the study considered the important factors, such as governance, motivation, employee integration, training, digital competency, succession planning, transparency, and job security. Based on these factors, the study anticipates to show how they affect employee performance and business success.

Job Responsibilities: The employees working in financial institutions are undertaking variety of tasks that support the organization's strategic and operational objectives. These positions involve managing financial services, dealing with customers, and preserving operational effectiveness. Customer management is the foremost major area of responsibility, where employee members answer questions, handle grievances, and assist customers in selecting financial products. Managing customers well increases their level of satisfaction, establishes trust, and cultivates enduring connections. Improvement in databases, processing applications, and keeping records are all examples of clerical and administrative tasks. It guarantees smooth operations, especially in areas like loan processing, transaction paperwork, and account opening. Employees actively participate in business development as well, promoting financial services and products, locating possible customers, and helping to generate income.

The most important parts of their job is managing and handling cash, which includes routine tasks like cash counting, deposits, withdrawals, and balancing transactions. Maintenance of customer trust and ensuring the

financial institution runs smoothly depend on accurate and effective cash management. Employees are also responsible for risk management and quality compliance, which include following financial regulations and conducting audits and internal checks. The duty guarantees regulatory compliance and lowers operational risks. Reporting and documentation are equally important duties. In order to maintain accuracy and openness in operations, employee members oversee a large amount of documentation, such as account records, loan agreements, and audit reports. The main function is grievance handling, in which employee members resolve internal conflicts or consumer complaints to preserve organisational unity and a favourable reputation.

Employees also frequently handle unforeseen responsibilities, such unexpected increases in workload, audits, or technical difficulties. The flexibility and dedication are demonstrated by their capacity to manage these difficulties. In order to enhance operational procedures or introduce new financial services, they could also take part in internal audits and project-based activities. In order to provide a complete approach to organisational success, employees are frequently required to balance various roles. Financial institution employees do a wide range of interconnected tasks that call for a high degree of precision, flexibility, and efficiency. The responsibilities support regulatory compliance, customer satisfaction, and the general stability of financial operations. Once these responsibilities are handled well, financial institutions may achieve consumer expectations and corporate goals while operating efficiently.

Performance Management System: The main factor in establishing the efficacy and efficiency of the system is how employees in financial institutions view the performance management system. In these kinds of organizations, performance management is intended to assess employees' contributions, establish targets, and guarantee alignment with organization objectives. Employee perception of the system, however, can have a big impact on how well it works and affect motivation, job satisfaction, and general productivity. Employees at many financial organizations frequently see the performance management system as a way to assess their work performance and assign rewards or penalties in accordance with the results. Employee perceptions are significantly shaped by the clarity of performance management system, impartiality, and transparency. Systems that provide explicit evaluation and feedback criteria are generally well-liked by employee members and can direct their professional growth. Employees are more likely to be driven to fulfil the standards set by the organization when they believe that the performance evaluation criteria are reasonable and clearly stated.

Employees, on the other hand, could have a bad opinion of the system if they think it is biased. Employees may get demotivated, which lowers engagement and performance, for example, when performance reviews are skewed by partiality or subjective assessment. The main factor that may contribute to discontent is the lack of helpful criticism during performance assessments. Financial organizations run the danger of alienating their employee if they don't put in place a strong feedback system or if they simply use the performance management system to discipline underperforming employees. The degree to which the performance management system develops career advancement is another important element impacting employees' opinion. If employees perceive the system as a means of skill development, upward mobility, and ongoing learning, they are more likely to have a favourable opinion of it. Financial institutions are more likely to retain top talent and boost employee morale if they include individualized training, skill development initiatives, and clear career advancement pathways into their performance management system.

Additionally, it's vital to communicate the goals and advantages of the performance management system. Employees may view the performance management system as an extra burden rather than a helpful tool if they are unaware of its objectives and benefits. Financial organizations need to make sure that employee members are aware of the connections between performance reviews and incentives, advancements, and chances for professional development. Transparency, equity, feedback channels, and career advancement chances are some of the elements that influence how employees realize financial institutions' performance management systems. Organizations that place a high priority on open communication, equity, and employee development are more likely to develop a happy work atmosphere, which improves organisational performance and employee happiness.

Employee Loyalty: The effectiveness of the performance management system has a significant impact on employee loyalty, which is a main component of any financial institution's long-term success. The provision of an open, equitable, and inspiring work environment where employees feel appreciated and acknowledged for their contributions, a well-designed performance management system can greatly increase employee loyalty. The view of how performance is handled, assessed, and rewarded inside financial institutions is directly related to employee loyalty. Alignment as per employee aspirations with the institution's overarching aims is an essential part of developing loyalty. Employees are more likely to have a sense of purpose and accountability when they believe that their performance reviews are directly related to the success of the organization. Employees can better understand how their individual efforts contribute to the expansion of the financial institution when a performance management system is in place that sets clear, attainable goals and offers frequent feedback. Employee loyalty may rise as a result of this alignment since it might strengthen their emotional bond with the organization. Transparency and fairness are other important considerations when

assessing employee loyalty. If employees think the performance management system is fair and impartial, they are more likely to stick with the organization.

Employees have greater faith in the system when performance reviews are founded on transparent, well-communicated standards and they are afforded an equitable chance to highlight their talents. Employees' dedication to the organization is further strengthened when they receive regular, objective performance reviews that provide practical feedback and help them identify areas for progress. The performance management system's ability to develop growth and development is essential component of employee loyalty. Financial institutions demonstrate to their employee that they are committed to their professional development by using performance management system to determine training needs and provide development programs. Employee loyalty to the organization is higher when they perceive observable advantages from the performance management process, such as possibilities for skill development, career advancement, and promotions. Once organizations honor and reward top performers with bonuses, promotions, or other incentives, loyalty is further reinforced.

On the other hand, loyalty can soon disintegrate if employees believe the performance management system is an unfair, inconsistent procedure or a tool for punishment. Employee engagement and dedication to the organization suffer if they believe their efforts are not valued or are not sufficiently acknowledged. An unfavorable work atmosphere that breeds animosity and a high turnover rate might result from a badly managed performance management system. The performance management system in financial institutions has a significant impact on employee loyalty. Building loyalty requires openness, equity, chances for advancement, and acknowledgement. Financial institutions are more likely to retain a devoted, motivated workforce, which improves organisational performance and lowers turnover, if they give priority to these elements and match their performance management systems with the requirements and goals of their employees.

2. Problem Statement

The study aimed to investigate the employees of financial institutions towards the performance management systems. Although the motive of performance management systems is to match individual and organisational goals in an achievable manner, eventually to attain better performance in the industry. In financial institutions, diverse employees employed and have different opinions about such systems, which affects their work delivery, motivation, loyalty, and general performance. Goal-setting, performance evaluations, and feedback systems must be in line with industry norms and reflect what employees anticipate in terms of duties and pay. A well-organized performance management system can boost loyalty, increase employee happiness, and raise service quality. The study tackles the difficulties in matching duties to organisational expectations while investigating the relationship between performance management methods and employee loyalty.

3. Need for the Study

The need arises from the rising importance of performance management systems towards improvement of employee productivity, engagement, and retention within financial institutions. As competition in the financial sector intensifies, organizations must optimize their workforce to maintain high standards of service and performance. A well-implemented performance management system can help to align employee aims with organizational objectives, which also ensures efficient operations and sustainable growth. However, the effectiveness of performance management system often depends on how employees perceive its fairness, transparency, and impact on their career progression. In Chennai, where financial institutions are rapidly evolving to meet both local and global demands, recognition of employee attitudes towards performance management is important. The study aimed to explore the relationship between performance management practices within the financial sector. The results can guide institutions in improving their performance management system to attain a more committed, high-performing workforce.

4. Review of Literature

To improve both human and organisational performance, performance management systems include goal-setting, responsibility definition, and outcome measurement. Successful performance management enhances both team and individual talents, which helps the organization succeed (Kazaara, 2024). In order to guarantee quality in service-based organizations, researchers stress the necessity of clearly defined performance criteria. Performance management systems provide a framework for evaluating employee performance and encouraging ongoing development in financial contexts (Parida, 2019). Performance management helps to sustain service quality, encourage stability, and match individual contributions with organisational goals. Governance, incentive, feedback mechanisms, and opportunities for professional growth all affect employee performance (Sharma and Jariwala, 2020). Employee loyalty and organisational effectiveness are increased by implementing established performance standards. The main component of organisational success is performance management, especially in sectors like finance where employee productivity has a direct bearing

on corporate results. A motivated workforce and better performance are the results of effective performance management systems that match personal aspirations with corporate intentions (Almulaiki, 2023).

The effective performance management system must include specific, quantifiable performance criteria. These systems improve job performance and employee satisfaction by giving employees regular feedback, clear expectations, and opportunity for growth (Deogaonkar et al., 2020). Performance reviews are essential for increasing motivation and engagement among employee members by assisting them in identifying their areas of strength and growth. Employees believe that assessments are impartial and fair, they become more trusting of the system, which boosts loyalty and job satisfaction (Ravi Chandra and Saraswathi, 2018). However, biased or subjective assessments can cause animosity and have a detrimental impact on output. Employee development also heavily relies on performance management (Sundar and Thiruchelvam, 2021). A strong performance management system offers a platform for skill development and career growth in addition to measuring performance. An efficient performance management system assists employee in staying current with industry standards and laws, which is essential in fields like finance where ongoing education is essential (Ntirandekura et al., 2022). Employee retention in financial institutions is directly impacted by performance management. Employees are more inclined to stick with a organization if they believe their efforts are valued and acknowledged (Perumal and Aithal, 2023). It confirms its importance to have a system that provides rewards, chances for professional advancement, and work-life balance in addition to performance evaluation (Manh and Hoa, 2024).

5. Research Objectives

The study is conducted with the following objectives.

1. To measure the demographic profile of employees working in financial institutions in Chennai.
2. To investigate the various job responsibilities assigned employees of financial institutions.
3. To examine the perception of employees towards performance management system in financial institutions.
4. To evaluate employee loyalty with regard to performance management systems in financial institutions.

6. Research Methodology

The study made an effort to test the performance management system of employees working in various financial institutions in Chennai. The study used direct interview techniques to assess performance management system experienced by the employees. Sample is chosen from various commercial banks, insurance companies, and mutual fund companies during September 2024 to November 2024. Sample is selected on the basis of employee availability and their willingness to fill responses for the questions given in survey questionnaire. The study used simple random sampling technique to gather responses for the study and accordingly, primary data is collected from 400 employees. The descriptive research design is adopted for the study. The data is collected through the use of well-structured questionnaire. The questionnaire consists of four sections, that is, first section deals with demographic profile of employees, second section covers the employee responsibilities, third section presents performance management system of employees and fourth section deals with employee loyalty to the working organization. Demographic profile is analyzed using simple percentage analysis. Kruskal-Wallis test is executed to test the various responsibilities assigned to the employees. Multiple regression analysis is executed to measure the performance management system of financial institutions. Ranking analysis is adopted to measure the employee loyalty to the financial institutions.

7. Results and Discussions

7.1. Analysis of Demographic Profile

The demographic profile collected from the employees working in financial institutions are analyzed and it is furnished in Table-1.

Table 1: Analysis of Demographic Profile

Profile	Distribution	Number	Frequency
Gender	Male	207	51.75%
	Female	193	48.25%
Age	Less than 30 years	153	38.25%
	31 - 40 years	143	35.75%
	More than 40 years	104	26.00%
Annual CTC (Rs.)	Less than 5 lakhs	173	43.25%
	5 - 10 lakhs	182	45.50%
	Above 10 lakhs	45	11.25%
Designation	Executive Level	196	49.00%

	Manager Level	131	32.75%
	Senior Level	73	18.25%
Educational Qualification	UG	149	37.25%
	PG	140	37.50%
	Professional	101	25.25%
Experience	Less than 5 years	207	51.75%
	5 - 10 years	147	36.75%
	More than 10 years	46	11.50%
Nature of Work	Marketing	193	48.25%
	Management	63	15.75%
	Front Office	144	36.00%

Source: Primary Data

Table 1 reveals that the demographic profile of employees including gender, age, salary, job designation, experience, education, and nature of work. Gender distribution shows that 51.75% of the employees are male and 48.25% of employees are female. Age shows that 38.25% of the employees are belong to less than 30 years of age. A sizeable portion of employees falls into the age level of 31 to 40 years, and 26.00% of employees are belonging to more than 40 years. Annual CTC shows that 43.25% of the employees earn less than 5 lakhs per years, 45.50% of employees earn between 5 – 10 lakhs, and 11.25% of the employees earn more than 10 lakhs salary per annum. Designation reveals that 49% of the employees hold executive-level positions, it is followed by managerial level by 32.75% employees and senior-level positions by 18.25% employees. Educational qualification demonstrates that employees with professional qualifications is 25.25%, employees with postgraduate degrees is 37.50%, and employees with undergraduate degrees is 37.25%. Experience confirms that employees to the extent of 51.75% possess less than five years of experience, 36.75% with 5 – 10 years and 11.50% with more than 10 years of experience. The nature of work shows that employees to the extent of 48.25% employed in marketing, followed by 36.00% in front office positions and 15.75% in management cadres.

7.2. Job Responsibilities of Employees in Financial Institutions

The employees working in various financial institutions are often assigned various job responsibilities as per their regular operation tasks. In this way, the job responsibilities assigned by employees, nine variables are identified, which consists of customer management, clerical nature of job, business development, quality compliance task, cash handling and management, audit and internal check, grievance handling, documentation, and contingency assignment. Employees are asked to rank their level of responsibilities under three parameters like, low, moderate and high. The Kruskal-Wallis test is applied to assess the responses of employees and the relationship between job responsibilities and employee performance management systems. The non-parametric test determines, if there is significant variation found among these two independent groups, especially when the data is ordinal. Therefore, the null hypothesis declares that there is no relationship between job responsibilities and performance management system in financial institutions. The results are furnished in Table 2.

Table 2: Kruskal-Wallis Test

	Chi-Square	df	Sig.
Customer management	14.563	2	0.000
Clerical nature of job	21.374	2	0.000
Business development	19.826	2	0.000
Quality compliance	13.527	2	0.000
Cash handling and management	19.249	2	0.000
Audit and internal check	18.281	2	0.000
Grievance handling	16.332	2	0.000
Documentation	22.578	2	0.000
Contingent assignment	24.555	2	0.000

Source: Primary Data

Table 2 reveals that the relationship between duties and employee performance management systems in financial institutions. Customer management, clerical nature of job, business development, quality compliance task, cash handling and management, audit and internal check, grievance handling, documentation, and contingency assignment are among the roles for which the p-values are higher than the significance level (0.05). It suggests that the different tasks that employees are given and their performance management systems are not very different from one another. Financial institutions' performance management systems should take into account the variety of tasks that employees execute without causing appreciable differences in performance results. Employees must successfully manage a variety of responsibilities, such as administrative work, operational activities, and quality compliance, all of which are factored into their performance reviews. The result emphasizes the methodical and comprehensive methodology used to oversee employee duties.

7.3. Performance Management System

Multiple regression analysis is used to analyze the performance management system as perceived by employees in financial institutions. Eight independent variables have been considered to examine their influence on the dependent variable, that is, the performance management factor. The identified independent variables include leadership effectiveness, goal clarity, feedback mechanism, employee empowerment, performance-linked incentives, work-life balance, skill enhancement opportunities, and recognition programs. The impact of these variables on the performance management system of employees in financial institutions in Table 3.

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis

Variables	Unstandardized Co-efficient	Standardized Co-efficient	t-value	Sig.
Constant	0.762		1.754	0.101
Leadership Effectiveness	0.452	0.478	6.102	0.000
Goal Clarity	0.207	0.215	7.024	0.000
Feedback Mechanism	0.187	0.172	5.563	0.000
Employee Empowerment	0.136	0.129	6.983	0.000
Performance-Linked Incentives	0.119	0.107	7.841	0.000
Work-Life Balance	0.148	0.132	5.102	0.000
Skill Enhancement Opportunities	0.101	0.097	6.754	0.000
Recognition Programs	0.127	0.114	5.087	0.000
R: 0.741				
R Square: 0.549				
Adjusted R Square: 0.519				
F Value: 56.215 (0.000)				

Source: Primary Data

Table 3 reveals that the values of R^2 (0.549) and adjusted R^2 (0.519) proves 54.9% of the variation in the performance management system is explained based on the eight identified factors in financial institutions. It highlights a strong fit of the regression model. Among the eight independent variables, leadership effectiveness emerges as the most significant predictor, with the highest beta coefficient (0.478) and a t-value of 6.102. Goal clarity and feedback mechanisms are also important, with beta coefficients of 0.215 and 0.172, respectively, and t-values of 7.024 and 5.563. Employee empowerment and work-life balance also significantly contribute to the performance management system, showing beta coefficients of 0.129 and 0.132, respectively. The variables, such as performance-linked incentives (0.119), skill enhancement opportunities (0.101), and recognition programs (0.127), demonstrate moderate but meaningful relationships with the performance management system. Although their beta coefficients are relatively lower, their t-values remain statistically significant at 1% level.

7.4. Employee Loyalty

Employee loyalty in financial institutions is influenced by various factors such as the management of their work responsibilities, innovation in operational practices, employee relations, trustworthiness, work dedication, organizational development, customer referrals, honesty and integrity, and enthusiasm in their job. To assess this loyalty, a Likert scale is used, with weights assigned to responses like, 5 for strongly agree, 4 for agree, 3 for neutral, 2 for disagree and 1 for strongly disagree. The total score for each factor is calculated by multiplying the number of responses by the respective weights. These scores are then used to determine the mean score and rank the loyalty factors. The analysis is furnished in Table 4.

Table 4: Weighted Ranking Analysis

Factors	5	4	3	2	1	Total Score	Mean Score	Rank
Handling of Responsibilities	28	32	24	10	6	366	24.4	2
Innovation in Operations	26	30	22	14	8	354	23.6	4
Employee Relations	30	27	20	12	7	358	23.9	3
Behavior Among Colleagues	20	29	26	13	8	340	22.7	6
Trustworthiness in Service	35	30	18	8	5	381	25.4	1
Dedication to Work	27	28	22	15	6	348	23.2	5
Organizational Development	18	22	25	20	13	308	20.5	8
Customer Referrals	22	24	20	18	14	312	20.8	7
Honesty and Integrity	16	19	21	26	16	284	18.9	10
Enthusiasm for the Job	19	23	24	20	12	310	20.7	9

Source: Primary Data

Table 4 shows that trustworthiness in service possesses the highest rank with a mean score of 25.4, highlights its importance in influencing employee loyalty in financial institutions. Handling of responsibilities attains the second position with a mean score of 24.4, which emphasizes its role in confirming operational efficiency and effective job performance. Employee relations follows closely in third place with a mean score of 23.9, it shows the significance of maintaining a positive and collaborative work environment. Innovation in operations ranks fourth with a mean score of 23.6, it confirms the importance in creating growth and competitive advantage. Dedication to work, with a mean score of 23.2, ranks fifth, it proves the role in driving organizational commitment and employee effectiveness. Factors such as behavior among colleagues, customer referrals, and organizational development are placed in the middle ranks, with mean scores ranging from 22.7 to 20.5. These factors contribute to workplace harmony, customer satisfaction, and long-term growth but are perceived as slightly less important than the top-ranked elements. Finally, honesty and integrity with a mean score of 18.9 and enthusiasm for the job with a mean score 20.7, occupy the lower positions, it proves that while important, they have a comparatively lesser impact on employee loyalty.

8. Conclusion

The demographic profile analysis reveals that the financial institutions recruitment consists of a balanced, youthful workforce with a strong representation of mid-level employees and a varied income distribution. The main part of employees has relatively less professional experience, with a significant portion holding postgraduate qualifications. The nature of work is dominated by marketing and front-office roles, indicating a workforce that is engaged with customers directly. These factors collectively provide a comprehensive overview of the respondent population, offering valuable context for further analysis on employee loyalty, performance, and satisfaction within financial institutions. Results reveal no noteworthy differences between employee duties and performance management systems, as tasks such as customer management, clerical work, and quality compliance are uniformly evaluated. It proves the importance of a systematic approach, ensuring all responsibilities are fairly assessed to maintain consistency and effectiveness in performance management within financial institutions.

It advocates that effective leadership strongly influences employee perceptions of the performance management system, emphasizing its main role in guiding, motivating, and managing employees. Goal clarity ensures employees understand their responsibilities and performance expectations, while timely and constructive feedback helps align their efforts toward organizational objectives. Empowerment develops autonomy, while work-life balance enhances productivity and job satisfaction. Results indicate that financial institutions need to provide rewards, continuous learning opportunities, and acknowledgment of employee achievements to sustain effective performance management. Findings indicate that leadership effectiveness, goal clarity, and feedback mechanisms are the most main determinants of a robust performance management system in financial institutions. Employee empowerment, work-life balance, and performance-linked incentives further enhance the system's effectiveness. Organizations aiming to improve employee performance must focus on these factors to develop a productive, motivated, and satisfied workforce. Findings reveal that trustworthiness, effective responsibility management, and employee relations are the key drivers of employee loyalty in financial institutions. Developing innovation and dedication, can significantly enhance loyalty levels of employees. Financial institutions should prioritize these elements to retain a loyal, motivated, and high-performing workforce.

References

1. Almulaiki, W.A. (2023). The impact of performance management on employee performance. *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 8(2), 22-27.
2. Deogaonkar, A., Zaveri, B. and Vichoray, C. (2020). Employee performance motivation and performance management system-exploring the pertinence. *International Journal of Management*, 11(3), 8-15.
3. Kazaara, A.G. (2024). Management of financial institutions, performance appraisal management and financial performance of financial institutions. An empirical evidence of Centenary Bank, Kampala branch. *International Journal of Academic and Applied Research*, 8(4), 18-22.
4. Manh, T.P. and Hoa, T.H.H. (2024). Factors affecting employee performance in the business recovery process at listed banks in Vietnam. *Global Business & Finance Review*, 29(4), 80-95.
5. Ntirandekura, M., Friday, C. and Muhammad, M. (2022). Rewards and employee retention in public sector in Uganda: An empirical study from Kabale District Local Government. *International Journal of Academic Management Science Research*, 6(7), 165-170.
6. Parida, S. (2019). A study on performance management practices in selected Indian banks. *International Bulletin of Management and Economics*, 11, 240-257.
7. Perumal, R. and Aithal, P.S. (2023). A study on the performance of employees in the banking sector and its impact on the organizational health. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences*, 8(4), 118-126.

8. Ravi Chandra, G. and Saraswathi, A.B. (2018). A study on the concept of performance management system in IT industry – Literature review. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology*, 9(1), 511-520.
9. Sharma, P. and Jariwala, N. (2020). A study on performance appraisal system of employees at R.P. Industry. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 8(4), 3414-3432.
10. Sundar, J.S. and Thiruchelvam, C. (2021). A study on employee perception and performance on public sector banks in Chennai city. *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 5(1), 168-175.