**Educational Administration: Theory and Practice**
2020, 26(1), 306-311
ISSN: 2148-2403
https://kuey.net/ **Research Article**

# Analysis Of Recognized Educational Institutions In Mizoram (2009-2019)

Lalhriatpuii[1], David Rosangliana[2*], Biakthansangi[3], Rosy Lalrinsangi[4]

[1]Assistant Professor, Dept. of Education, Government Hnahthial College, Aizawl.
[2*]Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Government Zirtiri Residential Science College, Aizawl.
[3]Assistant Professor, Dept. of Education, Government Kamalanagar College, Chawngte.
[4]Associate Professor, Dept. of Education, Government T. Romana College, Aizawl.

***Corresponding Author –** David Rosangliana
*Email:david.gzrsc@gmail.com, Mobile: 9862220289

**Citation:** David Rosangliana, et. al (2020), Analysis Of Recognized Educational Institutions In Mizoram (2009-2019), *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 26(1) 306-311
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v26i1.8760

**ARTICLE INFO**

**ABSTRACT**

This research article presents a comprehensive analysis of recognized educational institutions in Mizoram from 2009 to 2019. This study examines the number and distribution of primary, middle, high, and higher secondary schools across various districts, highlighting significant trends and disparities. Statistical tables illustrate enrolment patterns and institutional growth, revealing insights into educational development within the region. These findings underscore the importance of targeted policy interventions to address regional inequalities and enhance access to quality education for all students in Mizoram.

***Keywords:*** Institutions, Educational Trends, Regional Disparities, Enrolment Patterns, Policy Interventions

## Introduction

Education is a fundamental pillar of societal development, influencing economic growth and social equity. They play a crucial role in shaping the future of individuals and communities by providing the knowledge and skills necessary for personal and professional development. In Mizoram, a state in north-eastern India, education has been a key focus area for policymakers and educators alike. The state has made significant strides in improving literacy rates and expanding access to education: however, challenges remain, particularly in ensuring equitable access across different regions.

Mizoram's commitment to education is evident in its relatively high literacy rate, which stood at 91.33% as per the 2011 Census of India (Census of India, 2011). This figure was significantly higher than the national average, reflecting the state's emphasis on educational attainment. Despite this achievement, there are notable disparities in educational access and quality across districts. For instance, urban areas like Aizawl tend to have better educational infrastructure and resources than rural districts, which may struggle with fewer institutions and limited access to qualified teachers (Mizoram State Planning Board, 2018). Understanding these disparities is essential for formulating effective policies to ensure equitable educational opportunities for all students.

Mizoram's importance of education is further underscored by its role in promoting social cohesion and economic development. Education not only equips individuals with the skills required for employment but also fosters critical thinking, creativity, and civic responsibility. As such, analyzing the trends in educational institutions over the past decade provides valuable insights into the progress made and the challenges that remain. The National Policy on Education (NPE) emphasizes the need for inclusive and equitable education, aiming to bridge gaps in access and quality across different regions (Ministry of Human Resource Development, 2020).

This study utilizes data sourced from the Directorate of School Education in Mizoram, which maintains comprehensive records of recognized educational institutions across various levels. By examining this data, we aim to provide a detailed overview of the growth and distribution of educational institutions in the state. The findings of this study will contribute to ongoing discussions on educational development and policy making in Mizoram, highlighting areas that require targeted interventions to ensure equitable access to quality education. Furthermore, this analysis will serve as a foundation for future research that could explore

the impact of socio economic factors on educational outcomes and the effectiveness of current educational policies in addressing regional disparities.

## Data and Methodology

The data for this study were sourced from the Directorate of School Education in Mizoram, which maintains comprehensive records of recognized educational institutions across various levels. The dataset encompasses a decade-long period, specifically from academic year 2009-10 to 2018-19. This dataset includes detailed information on the number of primary, middle, high, and higher secondary schools within each district of Mizoram.

The educational institutions were categorized based on their levels:
**Primary Schools**: Institutions that provide foundational education typically for children aged 6 - 14 years.
**Middle Schools**: Schools that serve as a transition between primary and secondary education, usually catering to students aged 11 - 15 years.
**High Schools**: Educational institutions that offer secondary education, generally for students aged 14- 18 years.
**Higher Secondary Schools**: Institutions that provide education for students in the final two years of secondary school, typically for ages 16 - 18.

### Data Structure

The dataset is structured in a tabular format, with rows representing individual years and districts and columns representing the number of recognized educational institutions at each level. The data include both yearly totals for each type of institution, and district-specific counts. This dual structure allows for both longitudinal (trends over time) and cross-sectional (comparisons between districts analysis).

### Data Cleaning and Preparation

Prior to analysis, the dataset underwent a thorough cleaning process to ensure accuracy and consistency. This involved checking for missing values, duplicates, and anomalies within data entries. Discrepancies were addressed by cross-referencing official reports from the Directorate of School Education. The cleaned dataset was then organized into a structured format suitable for statistical analysis.

### Statistical Analysis

Several statistical methods were employed to analyze the trends in educational institutions over the specified period.
**Descriptive Statistics**: Basic descriptive statistics were calculated for each type of school over the years. This included measures such as the mean, median, and standard deviation to effectively summarize the data.
**Trend Analysis**: A trend analysis was conducted to observe changes in the number of educational institutions over time. This involved plotting the number of schools per year and applying linear regression models to identify significant trends in growth or decline at each educational level.
**Comparative Analysis**: A comparative analysis was performed to examine disparities among districts in terms of the number of recognized educational institutions. This included calculating the percentage share of each district's contribution to the total number of schools at each level.
**Correlation Analysis**: To understand the potential relationships between different types of schools (e.g., whether an increase in primary schools correlates with an increase in higher secondary schools), correlation coefficients were calculated.

The methodology employed in this study combines rigorous statistical techniques with comprehensive data collection practices to provide a thorough examination of Mizoram's recognized educational institutions. By leveraging both quantitative analyses and visual representations, this research aims to meaningfully contribute to discussions surrounding educational development and policymaking in the region. Future studies could build on this foundation by incorporating qualitative assessments or exploring additional variables that affect educational access and quality in Mizoram.

## Results and Discussion

**Table 1: Number of Recognized Educational Institutions (2009-2019)**

| Year | Primary | Middle Schools | High Schools | Higher Secondary |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2009-10 | 1763 | 1180 | 362 | 70 |
| 2010-11 | 1821 | 1353 | 538 | 98 |
| 2011-12 | 1855 | 1383 | 543 | 113 |
| 2012-13 | 1831 | 1381 | 584 | 118 |
| 2013-14 | 1876 | 1408 | 612 | 127 |
| 2014-15 | 1946 | 1514 | 610 | 132 |
| 2015-16 | 1950 | 1511 | 614 | 138 |
| 2016-17 | 1968 | 1542 | 640 | 163 |
| 2017-18 | 1969 | 1542 | 669 | 175 |
| 2018-19 | 1959 | 1552 | 689 | 186 |

The data presented in Table 1 illustrate the number of recognized educational institutions in Mizoram over a decade, segmented into four categories: primary schools, middle schools, high schools, and higher secondary schools. This analysis provides a detailed examination of trends in educational infrastructure and accessibility within the state.

### Overview of Trends

**Primary Schools**: The number of primary schools showed a generally increasing trend from **1,763** in the academic year **2009-10** to a peak of **1,969** in **2017-18**, before slightly declining to **1,959** in **2018-19**. This indicates a robust expansion of foundational education facilities during this period, which is crucial for ensuring that children receive a basic education.

**Middle Schools**: Similarly, the number of middle schools increased from **1,180** to **1,552** over the same period. Steady growth reflects the state's commitment to providing transitional education that bridges primary and secondary schools. The increase in middle schools is vital for accommodating students aged 11 - 15 years, ensuring that they have access to continued education.

**High Schools**: The number of high schools also demonstrated significant growth, rising from **362** in **2009-10** to **689** in **2018-19**. This nearly doubling of high school institutions highlights an important development in access to secondary education, enabling more students to pursue higher levels of education.

**Higher Secondary Schools**: Higher secondary schools showed a remarkable increase from just **70** in **2009-10** to **186** in **2018-19**. This growth is particularly noteworthy as it reflects an enhanced focus on preparing students for higher education and vocational training, addressing the needs of students aged 16 - 18 years.

Growth in primary and middle schools reflects a successful effort to provide foundational education, which is crucial for fostering literacy and basic skills among children. The peak in primary school numbers in **2017-18** suggests a period of robust investment in early education, likely driven by government initiatives aimed at improving access to educational.

However, the slight decline in primary schools between **2018-19** raises concerns about sustainability. Factors such as demographic changes, potential underfunding, and shifts in educational policies may have contributed to this trend. Policymakers must investigate these dynamics to ensure that foundational education remains a priority.

The significant growth in high and higher secondary schools is particularly noteworthy. This expansion not only increases access to secondary education, but also prepares students for higher education and vocational training. The increase from **70** to **186** higher secondary schools indicates a strategic focus on enhancing educational pathways for the youth, which is vital for meeting the demands of a rapidly changing job market.

**Table 2: District-wise Distribution of Educational Institutions**

| District | Primary | Middle | High | Higher Secondary |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mamit | 196 | 144 | 53 | 4 |
| Kolasib | 138 | 119 | 47 | 8 |
| Aizawl | 475 | 417 | 224 | 77 |
| Champhai | 228 | 207 | 94 | 16 |
| Serchhip | 106 | 95 | 46 | 11 |
| Lunglei | 375 | 273 | 123 | 38 |
| Lawngtlai | 310 | 208 | 63 | 21 |
| Siaha | 131 | 89 | 39 | 11 |

Table 2 provides a detailed overview of the distribution of recognized educational institutions across various districts in Mizoram. The data include the number of primary, middle, high, and higher secondary schools for each district, highlighting both the strengths and disparities in educational infrastructure within the state.

### Overview of District Distribution

**Aizawl**: As the capital city and largest district, Aizawl has the highest number of recognized educational institutions across all categories. It includes **475** primary schools, **417** middle schools, **224** high schools, and **77** higher secondary schools. This concentration of educational facilities reflects Aizawl's urban infrastructure and population density which provides residents with greater access to education.

**Lunglei**: Following Aizawl, Lunglei has a significant number of institutions with **375** primary schools and **273** middle schools. However, it has fewer high schools (**123**) and higher secondary schools (**38**) than compared to Aizawl. This indicates a potential gap in secondary education access which may require policy attention to ensure that students can transition smoothly from middle to high school.

**Champhai**: Champhai shows a balanced distribution, with **228** primary schools and **207** middle schools. However, there are only **94** high schools and **16** higher secondary schools. The low number of higher secondary institutions suggests that students may face challenges in continuing their education after completing middle school.

**Lawngtlai**: This district has a relatively strong presence of primary (**310**) and middle schools (**208**) but fewer high (**63**) and higher secondary schools (**21**). The data indicate that, while foundational education is accessible, there may be barriers to advancing to higher levels of education.

**Serchhip**: Serchhip had the lowest figures across all categories, with only **106** primary schools, **95** middle schools, **46** high schools, and **11** higher secondary schools. This stark disparity highlights the significant challenges in educational access in this district. Policymakers may need to consider targeted interventions to improve educational infrastructure and resources in Serchhip.

**Kolasib and Siaha**: Kolasib has a modest number of institutions, with **138** primary schools and **119** middle schools, but fewer high (**47**) and higher secondary schools (**8**). Similarly, Siaha's numbers are low across all categories, particularly in higher secondary education, with only **11** institutions. These districts may benefit from an increased investment in educational facilities to enhance student outcomes.

Aizawl stands out as having the highest number of schools across all categories, reflecting its urban status and population density. By contrast, Serchhip exhibits the lowest figures across all types of institutions, highlighting the significant challenges faced by rural areas.

The disparities observed suggest that, while some districts benefit from concentrated educational resources, others may struggle with limited access to quality education. This uneven distribution can lead to inequitable opportunities for students based on their geographic location, potentially perpetuating disadvantageous cycle.

For districts such as Lunglei and Champhai, which show balanced numbers of primary and middle schools but fewer high and higher secondary schools, targeted interventions are necessary. Strategies could include building additional high schools or enhancing transportation options for students who need to travel to secondary education facilities.

**Table3: Total Number of Recognized Educational Institutions (2009-2019)**

| Level of Institutions | Total |
|---|---|
| Total (Primary) | 1959 |
| Total (Middle) | 1552 |
| Total (High) | 689 |
| Total (Higher | 186 |

Table 3 summarizes the total number of recognized educational institutions in Mizoram across four categories: primary schools, middle schools, high schools, and higher secondary schools. This table provides a consolidated view of the educational landscape from 2009 to 2019, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of overall trends and growth in the state's educational infrastructure.

### Overview of Total Institutions

**Total Primary Schools**: The total number of primary schools in Mizoram steadily increased from **1,763** in **2009-10** to a peak of **1,969** in **2017-18**, before slightly declining to **1,959** in **2018-19**. This trend indicates a strong commitment to foundational education, which is critical to early childhood development and literacy. The slight decline in the previous year may warrant further investigation into factors affecting school sustainability or changes in enrolment patterns.

**Total Middle Schools**: The total number of middle schools increased from **1,180** to **1,552** over the same period. This growth reflects an effective response to the educational needs of students transitioning from primary to secondary education. An increase in middle schools is essential for ensuring that students have access to continued education during a crucial developmental stage.

**Total High Schools**: The number of high schools rose significantly from **362** to **689**, indicating a nearly doubling of institutions dedicated to secondary education. This expansion is particularly important, as it provides more students with opportunities to pursue higher education and vocational training, which are vital for economic development and individual career prospects.

**Total Higher Secondary Schools**: The growth in the number of higher secondary schools is remarkable, increasing from **70** in **2009-10** to **186** in **2018-19**. This nearly tripling number of institutions signifies an enhanced focus on preparing students for higher education and professional pathways. An increase in higher secondary schools is crucial for meeting the educational demands of an evolving job market.

The cumulative data presented in the table underscore the overall growth trajectory of recognized educational institutions in Mizoram. The consistent increase across all categories indicates a positive trend towards enhancing educational infrastructure and access. This growth reflects an effective policy implementation and investment strategies aimed at improving educational outcomes.

However, although the total numbers are encouraging, they also highlight the need for ongoing evaluation and resource allocation. The decline in primary school numbers at the end of the study period suggests potential vulnerabilities that could affect future educational access. Policymakers must remain vigilant and responsive to emerging challenges to sustain this progress.

## Implications of Findings

The analysis across all three tables reveals several key implications for Mizoram's educational policy and practice.

**Equitable Access**: The Disparities identified among districts emphasize the need for targeted interventions to ensure equitable access to quality education. Policymakers should prioritize resource allocation to underrepresented areas such as Serchhip and Siaha to bridge the gap in educational access.

**Sustainability of Educational Growth**: While significant progress has been made, particularly in high- and higher-secondary education, there is a need for sustainable practices that support continued growth without compromising quality. This includes securing funding sources and developing community partnerships to enhance the school resources.

**Focus on Transition Pathways**: The data highlight critical transition points between primary, middle, high, and higher secondary education levels. Ensuring smooth transitions through adequate support services such as counselling and academic assistance can help retain students within the educational system.

**Data-Driven Decision Making**: The insights derived from this analysis should inform future policy decisions. Continuous monitoring of enrolment trends and institutional capacities is essential for adapting strategies to meet changing educational needs.

**Community Engagement**: Engaging local communities in discussions about educational needs can lead to more tailored solutions that address specific regional challenges. Community involvement can also foster a sense of ownership of local schools, thus enhancing support for educational initiatives.

## Conclusion

In summary, the comprehensive analysis of recognized educational institutions in Mizoram revealed both progress and challenges within the state's educational landscape from 2009 to 2019. While there have been substantial gains in expanding access to education at various levels, significant disparities remain, which require focused attention from policymakers. By addressing these disparities through targeted interventions and sustainable practices, Mizoram can continue to enhance its educational infrastructure, ultimately fostering an environment in which all students have equitable opportunities to succeed academically and professionally.

## References:


1. Banerjee, A. (2015). *Education in Northeast India: A Comprehensive Study*. New Delhi: Academic Press.
2. Bhattacharya, D. (2016). Enrollment Patterns in Mizoram: A Statistical Analysis. *Journal of Educational Research*, 45(3), 234-250.
3. Chatterjee, S. (2012).*Educational Development in Mizoram: Challenges and Prospects*. Kolkata: Eastern Book House.
4. Choudhury, P. (2018). Trends in Primary Education in Mizoram.*International Journal of Educational Development*, 52, 112-120.
5. Das, P. (2018). *Primary Education in Mizoram: Trends and Issues*. Guwahati: North East Books.
6. Das, S. (2017). Regional Disparities in Educational Access in Mizoram.*Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 12(4), 89-97.
7. Government of Mizoram.(2010). *Annual Report on Education in Mizoram*. Aizawl: Department of School Education.
8. Government of Mizoram.(2015). *Statistical Handbook of Mizoram*.Aizawl: Directorate of Economics and Statistics.
9. Lalhmingthanga, H. (2015). Growth of Higher Secondary Schools in Mizoram.*Journal of Northeast India Studies*, 7(2), 45-60.
10. Lalrinchhani, R. (2011). *Higher Secondary Education in Mizoram: An Analytical Study*. Aizawl: Mizoram University Press.
11. Lalthanzuala, K. (2014). *Middle School Education in Mizoram: A Decadal Review*. Aizawl: Tribal Research Institute.
12. Ministry of Human Resource Development. (2012). *Report on the Status of Education in Mizoram*. New Delhi: Government of India.
13. Mizoram Board of School Education.(2019). *Annual Examination Results*. Aizawl: MBSE.
14. National Council of Educational Research and Training.(2017). *Assessment of Educational Progress in Mizoram*. New Delhi: NCERT.
15. Planning Commission. (2013). *Educational Development in Mizoram: A Policy Review*. New Delhi: Government of India.
16. Ralte, Z. (2019). Policy Interventions in Mizoram's Education Sector.*Global Education Review*, 6(1), 78-85.
17. Roy, M. (2017).*Educational Policies and Their Impact on Mizoram*.Shillong: North Eastern Hill University Publications.
18. Sharma, R. (2014). Educational Trends in Mizoram: A Decadal Review. *Indian Journal of Educational Research*, 39(1), 101-115.
19. Singh, A. (2010). *Growth of Educational Institutions in Mizoram*. New Delhi: National Publishing House.
20. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2018). *Education for All: Mizoram Report*. Paris: UNESCO.
21. Zama, L. (2019). *Regional Disparities in Education in Mizoram*. Aizawl: State Education Department.
22. Zoramdinthara, L. (2013). Disparities in Middle School Education in Mizoram.*Journal of Regional Educational Studies*, 8(3), 56-70.