



An Analytical Study on Implementing International Commercial Arbitration in India: Challenges and Opportunities

Ms. Sunanda Bishnoi¹, Dr. Omprakash D. Somkuwar²

¹ Research Scholar, Faculty of Law, Sangam University, Bhilwara, Rajasthan

² Associate Professor, Faculty of law, Sangam University, Bhilwara, Rajasthan

Citation: Ms. Sunanda Bishnoi et al. (2024), An Analytical Study on Implementing International Commercial Arbitration in India: Challenges and Opportunities, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(1) 5499-5503
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i1.9061

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	<p>This article offers an all-round analysis of the adoption of international Commercial Arbitration (ICA) in India, exploring both the challenges and opportunities it presents. It begins with a historical overview of arbitration in India, tracing its evolution from ancient dispute resolution practices to the contemporary legal framework established by the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996. Key features along with the recent changes to the Act are examined, highlighting India's alignment with international standards. Despite the robust legal framework, the article identifies significant challenges in the practical implementation of ICA in India. These includes pervasive judicial intervention, underutilization of institutional arbitration, contentious arbitrator appointments, contentious arbitrator appointments difficulties in enforcing arbitral awards, inadequate infrastructure, and limited awareness and expertise among stakeholders. The study then outlines opportunities for strengthening ICA in India. These encompass enhancing judicial support for arbitration, promoting institutional arbitration. A comparative analysis with global practices from leading arbitration hubs such as Singapore, Hong Kong and the United Kingdom offers valuable insights into areas for improvement. Successful case studies from the jurisdictions demonstrates the potential benefits of adopting similar practices in India. Finally, the article provides recommendations for legislative reforms, institutional support, judicial training, awareness campaigns, and technological integration. By addressing these challenges and leveraging opportunities, India can position itself as a premier destination for international arbitration, fostering a favorable environment for international trade and investment.</p> <p>Keywords: International Commercial Arbitration, Institutional Arbitration, Arbitrator Appointments, Enforcement of Arbitral Awards, Arbitration Infrastructure, Global Arbitration practices.</p>

Introduction

International Commercial Arbitration (ICA) is a pivotal mechanism for resolving cross-border commercial disputes. As globalization intensifies and international trade proliferates, the importance of efficient and effective dispute resolution mechanisms cannot be overstated. India, with its burgeoning economy and increasing integration into the global market, recognizes the strategic significance of ICA. However, despite its potential, the implementation of ICA in India faces numerous challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize the opportunities it presents.¹

India has successfully matured and gained significance as a fast-developing economic power, cementing its place as an essential participant in the world trade and commerce. India has also earned a significant amount of importance. In order to ensure that our laws and procedures of arbitration continue to meet the

¹Aragaki, Hiro N. Arbitration Reforms in India. And opportunities (December 15, 2017). From The Developing World of Arbitration, Weixia Gu and Anselmo Reyes, eds Hart Publishing (Forthcoming), Loyola Law School, Los Angeles Legal Studies Research Paper No. 2017-51.

unique requirements of the Indian populace, it is of the utmost importance that they be in par with the best practices that have been developed all over the world. Relevantly, the UNCITRAL Model law, which contains universally recognised criteria for arbitration procedures, is the source of inspiration for the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, which was passed in 1996. International commercial arbitration has become more transitional and multijurisdictional in today's world. As a result, the procedural aspects of international commercial arbitration differ significantly from one country to another worldwide. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act of India, enacted in 1996, is derived on the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Model Law, which combines globally accepted standards for arbitration processes.²

Historical Background of Arbitration in India

Use of arbitration in the states of India has evolved considerably from its ancient roots, where it was prevalent in resolving disputes within communities. The formalization of arbitration began with a piece of legislation known as the Indian Arbitration Act of 1899, which was heavily inspired by the English Arbitration Act of 1899. In the recent years that followed, the Arbitration Act of 1940 was enacted with the intention of consolidating and amending the laws that were in place regarding arbitration. However, it is criticized for being both bureaucratic and counterproductive.³ With the implementation of the arbitration and conciliation act of 1996 (the Act) there was a major shift in the arbitration scene in India. With its roots in the UNCITRAL model of international commercial arbitration the act sought to provide a thorough legislative framework for arbitration on a national and international scale. To guarantee that India's arbitration system conformed to global norms and practices, this was an essential step.⁴

The legal framework for ICA in India

1. the arbitration and conciliation Act 1996

The arbitration and conciliation Act is a foundation of arbitration law in India. It regulates both domestic and international arbitration with part I of the act addressing domestic arbitration and part II addressing the enforcement of foreign awards under the New York convention and the Geneva convention. The act's primary characteristics include:

- a. **Autonomy of parties:** The act places a strong emphasis on the autonomy of the parties, enabling them to determine everything of aspects of the arbitration procedure, including the quantity of arbitrators, the procedure to be followed, and the selection of substantive law.
- b. **Judicial intervention:** The act limits judicial intervention to specific instances such as the appointment of arbitrators, interim measures and the preservation of medals.
- c. **Recognition and enforcement of foreign awards:** Under part II, foreign awards are recognized and enforced in India. Provided they meet the conditions laid down by the New York and Geneva conventions.⁵

Amendments to this Act

The 2015 and 2019 amendments to the act introduce several key changes aimed at making arbitration more efficient and aligned with Global best practices. These include:

1. The amendments mandate a 12-month timeline for the completion of arbitral proceedings with a possible extension of 6 months.
2. The amendments streamline the procedures for the appointment of arbitrators, reducing the scope of judicial delay.
3. The amendments introduce provisions for the regulation of arbitrators' fees and the allocation of costs.
4. The 2019 amendment established the Arbitration Council of India (ACI) to encourage and oversee arbitration in the nation.

Judicial Precedents

The arbitration landscape has been significantly influenced by the Indian judiciary. Landmark judgements such as *Bharat Aluminium Co. v. Kaiser Aluminium Technical Services Inc.*⁶ (BALCO) and *ONGC Limited versus Western Geomatics International Limited*⁷ had clarified the scope and applicability of various provisions of the Act, reinforcing India's commitment to Arbitration.

²Gary B Born. *International Commercial Arbitration* 2nd Edition (Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2014).

³Christopher Garden, 'Arbitration in India. The challenges of the 21st century' (September 2011) -16 (2) *Arbitration News* 51-53.

⁴Jan Paulsson and Lise Bosman (eds), *ICCA International handbook on commercial arbitration: India* (Alphen aan den Rijn, Kluwer Law International, 2015).

⁵Nishith Desai Associates, 'enforcement of arbitral awards', May 2016, 4, fn 4 available online: www.nishithdesai.com/fileadmin/user-upload/pdfs/Research%20papers/Enforcement_of_Arbitral_Awards.pdf.

⁶AIR 2016 SC12857

⁷AIR 2015 SC363

Challenges in implementing ICA in India

This places the robust legal Framework the implementation of ICA in India faces several challenges:

1. Judicial intervention: One of the most significant challenges is pervasion judicial intervention in arbitration proceedings. India courts have historically exhibited a propensity to interfere with arbitral awards, often leading to protracted litigation. This undermines the fundamental nature of arbitration as a Swift and efficient dispute resolution mechanism.
2. Institutional Arbitration: While institutional arbitration is preferred globally for its structured process and professional administration it remains underutilized in India. The preferred the preference for ad hoc arbitration often results inconsistent practices and delays.
3. Arbitrators Appointments: The process of appointing arbitrators can be contentious and time consuming especially when participate to reach a consensus. The involvement of course in appointing arbitrators can lead to for the delays.⁸
4. Enforcement of awards: Enforcing arbitrary awards in India can be challenging due to procedural complexities and judicial scrutiny. Although the 1996 Act and its amendments aim to streamline the enforcement process, partial difficulties persist.
5. Lack of infrastructure: Adequate infrastructure including state of art arbitration centers, is essential for the effective contact of arbitration. Cities like Mumbai and Delhi have established arbitration centers there is a need for more such facilities across the country.
6. Limited awareness and expertise: Legal practitioners and enterprises in India exhibit inadequate awareness and provision in international arbitration. This often reserves in sub optimal arbitration clauses and practices.⁹

Opportunities first strengthening ICA in India

Despite the challenges there are significant opportunities for strengthening ICA in India:

1. Enhancing judicial support: Enhancing duration support for arbitration is crucial. This includes minimizing judicial intervention, promoting arbitration-friendly judicial attitudes, and ensuring the judges dealing with Abbreviation matters are adequately trained.
2. Promoting institutional arbitration: Promoting institutional arbitration can help address the challenges associated with ad hoc arbitration. Encouraging parties to opt for institutional arbitration and establishing more arbitration centers across the country can improve the efficiency and consistency of arbitration proceeding.
3. Streamlining arbitrator appointments: Streamlining the process of arbitrator appointments is essential. This can be achieved by empowering arbitrary institutions to appoint arbitrators and reducing the scope for judicial intervention.
4. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms: Strengthening enforcement mechanism is essential for the legitimacy of arbitration in India. This includes simplifying procedures for the enforcement of awards and ensuring that judicial scrutiny is limited to grounds specified in the Act.
5. Building infrastructure: Investing in infrastructure, including establishing state of the art arbitration centers across major cities, can provide then necessary support for conducting efficient arbitrary proceedings.¹⁰
6. Enhancing Awareness and Training: Enhancing awareness and training in international arbitration among legal practice nurse and businesses is crucial. This can be achieved through seminars, workshops, in specialized courses on arbitration.

Comparative analysis: ICA in India and global practices

In countries like Singapore and United Kingdom judicial intervention in arbitration is minimal reinforcing the autonomy of the arbitral process. India can benefit from adopting similar practices to improve the effectiveness of arbitration. Countries with robust arbitration regimes such as Singapore Hong Kong have arbitration institutions that are well established such as the Hong Kong international arbitration center (HKIAC) and the Singapore International arbitration center (SIAC). Promoting institutional arbitration in India can align its practices with these leading to Jurisdictions.

Streamlined processes for arbitrator appointments in countries like Switzerland and Sweden minimize delays and enhance the credibility of arbitration. India can adopt similar mechanisms to ensure timely and effective appointments. The enforcement of orbital awards is relatively smooth in countries like France and Germany with Limited judicial scrutiny. Simplifying enforcement procedures in India can enhance its effectiveness as an

⁸Alison Ross, 'Does India Refuse to Modernise?' (2012) 7 (6) Global Arbitration Review 35.

⁹S.M. Ferguson, Interim Measures of Protection In International Commercial Arbitration: problems, Proposed Solutions, and Anticipated Results, 12 Current International Trade, L.J 55 (2003).

¹⁰Ibid.

arbitration hub.

Case Studies: Successful Implementation of ICA

Singapore: Singapore is widely regarded as a leading arbitration hub due to its arbitration friendly legal framework minimal judicial intervention and world class infrastructure. The establishment of the SIAC and supported judicial attitudes have played a crucial role in positioning Singapore as a preferred arbitration destination.

Hong Kong: Hongkong's success in international arbitration is attributed to its robust legal framework, the presence of HALAC, and a Judiciary that respects the autonomy of the arbitral process. Hongkong's proximity to China also enhances its appeal as an arbitration hub.

United Kingdom: The United Kingdom particularly London is a premier arbitration center. The English arbitration act of 1996 provides a comprehensive legal framework, and the presence of Institutions like the London Court of international arbitration (LCIA) ensures efficient and effective arbitration.

Recommendations for enhancing ICA in India

Habitation has been the go-to method for resolving business disputes for quite some time. Trials in Indian courts take for longer than they should owing to enormous pendency, and this is to even for mainly domestic matters. But in past 20 years arbitration has begun to resemble the conventional judicial processes in India especially in case of impromptu domestic conflicts. Users' frustration with the processes has been on the rise and it's not hard to see why: The fees are hefty and there is a very tiny pool of experienced and trustworthy arbitrators. Misunderstanding of certain sections, among other problems, is inevitable in the life of any relation and has long been anticipated that a change to the law would be necessary to address them. Finally, in 2015, the statute was modified after two failed efforts in 2001 and 2010. While most of the recommendations from last year's 246th Law Commission report is still in the ordinance, it does include certain novel elements not seen in any other prominent arbitrator's legislation. The deadline for finishing the arbitration and the recommendations of the arbitrators are two of the unusual problems with ad hoc domestic arbitration that these regulations came to fix¹¹.

Any arbitration proceedings in India must be completed within 12 months of the arbitrary tribunal's establishments: however, the parties may consent to a six-month extension if they so desire. The tribunal's mandate will expire in the absence of such an extension, apart from any registrations that the court may decide to impose.

As an additional penalty, the court might reduce the arbitrator's cost when it grants extension. It has the authority to replace any or all the arbitrators throughout the expression process if it so chooses. In addition to these far-reaching reforms, the ordinance plethora of others, some of which would drastically alter the current legal landscape, others of which would clarify contentious issues, and still others would just restate the law as previously established by courts in their interpretations of precedent¹². Some of the major recommendations are:

- 1). Legislative reforms aimed at further reducing judicial intervention and simplifying enforcement procedures can enhance the arbitration frameworks in India. Periodic reviews and amendments to the Act, in line with international best practices can ensure its relevance and effectiveness.
- 2). Strengthening existing arbitration Institutions and establishing new ones can promote institutional arbitration. This includes providing financial and infrastructure support to the arbitration centers.
- 3). Training programs for judges on arbitration law and practices can foster arbitration-friendly judicial attitudes. Specialized arbitration benches can be established in higher courts to handle arbitration-related matters.
- 4). Conducting awareness campaigns educate business and legal practitioners about the benefits of arbitration can promote its use. Collaboration with international arbitration Institutions can also enhance expertise and knowledge sharing.
- 5). Integrated technology in arbitration proceedings, such as virtual hearings and electronic submission of documents, can enhance efficiency and accessibility, particularly in the post pandemic world.

Analysis of recommendation suggested by the 246th Law Commission report¹³

The law commission's proposed change is now an official policy. In its decision in TDM infrastructure private

¹¹Christopher Gardner, 'Arbitration in India: The Challenges of the 21st Century' (September 2011) 16 (2) Arbitration News 51 – 53.

¹²Gary B Born, International Commercial Arbitration, 2nd edn. (Alpen an den Rijn, Kluwer Law International 2014).

¹³Law Commission of India, 246th Report on the Amendments to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996, 2014.

Limited versus UE development India Private Limited., the Supreme Court affirmed this change¹⁴ and reaffirmed in subsequent court decisions. And opportunity has been missed to incorporate a traditional criterion, specification of the contract, as a relevant factor in determining whether arbitration should be classified as "International". The act has omitted the criterion, despite incorporating the definition from the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration ("model law"). In light of the substantial volume of international work that Indian companies have been engaging in recent years, light of the default position under private international law, which considers "subject matter" to be relevant, party should be allowed to select a foreign law and see if seat of the subject matter of the contract is not Indian. As was recently observed in the *Sassan Power Pvt. Ltd Vs. North American Coal Cooperation India Pvt Ltd.*, case, the absence of such a provision may lead to a much broader and inaccurate interpretation of the freedom of two Indian parties to select a location outside of India¹⁵.

Conclusion

The implementation of International commercial arbitration in India is characterized by both opportunity and challenges. While judicial intervention, limited institutional arbitration, and enforcement difficulties pose significant challenges, enhancing judicial support, promoting institutional arbitration, and strengthening enforcement mechanisms can unlock the potential of ICA in India. By drawing on global best practices and leveraging its strategic advantages, India can position itself as a leading arbitration hub, fostering a conducive environment for international trade and investment.¹⁶

In institutional arbitration, the institutions essentially assume the powers of the parties, including the appointment of arbitrators, and can impose their will on the parties, even though the parties are considered to be the masters of the process. This seems to be direct opposition to the fundamental principles of arbitration, and argument could be made that it is not arbitration in the traditional sense. Even though ad hoc arbitration would be the preferable method, it can be argued that in the complex and modern commercial environment, it is only appropriate for disputes involving less affluent parties and lesser claims, as well as for domestic arbitration. To substantiate this assertion, although it is permissible in an international context, ad hoc arbitration frequently frustrates the party seeking to enforce the contract, regardless of its merits in an exclusively domestic situation. Even though institutional arbitration is purportedly more expensive, time-consuming, and rigid than ad hoc arbitration, it is feasible to contend that it is more suitable for international commercial disputes. This is the result of the fact that it offers support, supervision, and monitoring of the arbitration, as well as the evaluation of awards, in addition to providing established and updated arbitration rules. The honours' credibility is significantly enhanced, which is of paramount importance. The Arbitration and Conciliation (Proposed Amendment) Act's modification will not only address the issue but also pave the way for arbitration in India to progress to a more advanced state. The amendments are a positive step towards the establishment of India as a center for international commercial arbitration and will unquestionably engender confidence in foreign investors.

¹⁴(2008) 14 SCC 271.

¹⁵[First Appeal No. 310 of 2015] case, High Court of Madhya Pradesh

¹⁶SM. Ferguson, *Interim Measures of Protection In International Commercial Arbitration: Problems, Proposed Solutions, and Anticipated Results*, 12 *Current International Trade*, L.J 55 (2003).